

Semiclassics in the lowest Landau band

Christian Hainzl*

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Wien
Boltzmanngasse 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

March 8, 2001

Abstract

This paper deals with the comparison between the strong Thomas-Fermi theory and the quantum mechanical ground state energy of a large atom confined to lowest Landau band wave functions. Using the tools of microlocal semiclassical spectral asymptotics we derive precise error estimates. The approach presented in this paper suggests the definition of a modified strong Thomas-Fermi functional, where the main modification consists in replacing the integration over the variables perpendicular to the magnetic field by an expansion in angular momentum eigenfunctions. The resulting DSTF theory is studied in detail in the second part of the paper.

1 Introduction

In this paper we study semiclassical theories describing the ground state energies of heavy atoms in strong homogeneous magnetic fields, where additionally the electrons are confined to the lowest Landau band.

An atom with N electrons of charge $-e$ and mass m_e and nuclear charge Ze is described by the nonrelativistic Pauli Hamiltonian operator

$$H_N = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \left\{ ((-i\nabla^{(j)} + \mathbf{A}(x_j)) \cdot \sigma^j)^2 - \frac{Z}{|x_j|} \right\} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|}, \quad (1.1)$$

acting on the Hilbertspace $\bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq N} L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ of electrons. The units are chosen such that $\hbar = 2m_e = e = 1$. The magnetic field is $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$, with vector potential $\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}B(-x_2, x_1, 0)$, where B is the magnitude of the field in units of $B_0 = \frac{m_e^2 e^3 c}{\hbar^3} = 2.35 \cdot 10^9 \text{Gauss}$, the field strength for which the cyclotron radius

*current address: Institut für Mathematik, LMU-München, Theresienstr. 39, D-80333 München, E-Mail: hainzl@rz.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de

$l_B = (\hbar c/(eB))^{1/2}$ is equal to the Bohr radius $a_0 = \hbar^2/(m_e e^2)$. The ground state energy is

$$E^Q(N, Z, B) = \inf\{(\psi, H_N \psi) : \psi \in \text{domain } H_N, (\psi, \psi) = 1\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Recall that the spectrum of the free Pauli Hamiltonian on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$ for one electron in the magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$,

$$H_{\mathbf{A}} = [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))]^2, \quad (1.3)$$

is given by

$$p_z^2 + 2\nu B \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad p_z \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1.4)$$

The projector Π_0 onto the lowest Landau band, $\nu = 0$, is represented by the kernel

$$\Pi_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{B}{2\pi} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{x}_\perp \times \mathbf{x}'_\perp) \cdot \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{x}_\perp - \mathbf{x}'_\perp)^2 B \right\} \delta(z - z') P_\downarrow, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\mathbf{x}_\perp$ and z are the components of $\mathbf{x}$ perpendicular and parallel to the magnetic field, and $P_\downarrow$ denotes the projection onto the spin-down component.

In this paper we are especially interested in the ground state energy,

$$E_{\text{conf}}^Q(N, Z, B) = \inf_{\|\psi\|=1} (\psi, \Pi_0^N H_N \Pi_0^N \psi), \quad (1.6)$$

where Π_0^N denotes the N -th tensorial power of Π_0 . Lieb, Solovej and Yngvason pointed out that for $B \gg Z^{4/3}$ the electrons are to the leading order confined to the lowest Landau band, which is expressed by the following theorem.

1.1. THEOREM. ([LSY1], Theorem 1.2) *For any fixed $\lambda = N/Z$ there is a $\delta(x)$ with $\delta(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ such that*

$$E_{\text{conf}}^Q \geq E^Q \geq E_{\text{conf}}^Q (1 + \delta(B/Z^{4/3})). \quad (1.7)$$

The energy (1.6) can be approximated by means of the STF-functional (Strong Thomas-Fermi)

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{STF}}[\rho] = \frac{4\pi^4}{3B^2} \int \rho^3 - \int V\rho + D(\rho, \rho), \quad (1.8)$$

$V(x) = Z/|x|$ and $D(\rho, \rho) = \frac{1}{2}(\rho, |x|^{-1} * \rho)$. In [LSY2] it is shown that $E^Q/E^{\text{STF}} \rightarrow 1$ if $Z \rightarrow \infty$, $B/Z^3 \rightarrow 0$ and $B/Z^{4/3} \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$E^{\text{STF}}(N, Z, B) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}^{\text{STF}}[\rho] | \rho \geq 0, \rho \in D^{\text{STF}}, \int \rho \leq N\}, \quad (1.9)$$

with an appropriately chosen domain D^{STF} . Combined with Theorem 1.1 this implies Theorem 1.2.

1.2. THEOREM. ([LSY2]) *If $Z \rightarrow \infty$ with N/Z fixed, $B/Z^3 \rightarrow 0$ and $B/Z^{4/3} \rightarrow \infty$, then*

$$E_{\text{conf}}^Q(N, Z, B)/E^{\text{STF}}(N, Z, B) \rightarrow 1. \quad (1.10)$$

1.1 Comparing the STF energy with the QM ground state energy in the lowest Landau band

In this paper we not only want to give a direct proof of Theorem 1.2, but we want to derive precise error estimates. In this respect our procedure is related to [IS] and [I1]. Our main theorem is the following:

1.3. THEOREM. *Let $N \sim Z$ and $Z^{4/3} \leq B \leq Z^3$. Then*

$$|E_{\text{conf}}^Q(N, Z, B) - E^{\text{STF}}(N, Z, B)| \leq CB^{4/5}Z^{3/5} \quad (1.11)$$

for an appropriate constant C .

1.4. Remark. In [I1] Ivrii estimated the difference between the full quantum mechanical energy E^Q and the MTF energy, which is given by minimizing the MTF functional (cf. [LSY2]), where all Landau levels are taken into account. The main theorem in [I1] reads:

1.5. THEOREM. *([I1] Theorem 0.2) Let $B \leq Z^3$ and $N \sim Z$, then*

$$|E^Q(N, Z, B) - E^{\text{MTF}}(N, Z, B) - \frac{1}{4}Z^2| \leq R_1 + R_2, \quad (1.12)$$

with

$$R_1 = CZ^{4/3}(N + B)^{1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 = CZ^{3/5}B^{4/5}. \quad (1.13)$$

1.6. Remark. Although true for all $B \leq Z^3$, it should be noted that only for $B < Z^{7/4}$ are the error terms R_1 and R_2 smaller than the Scott term $\frac{1}{4}Z^2$.

One of the main difficulties Ivrii has to cope with in the proof of Theorem 1.5 is the fact that the self-consistent MTF potential is not smooth, because it includes all Landau levels. So he has to create an approximating C^∞ potential in order to apply the tools of microlocal semiclassical spectral asymptotics. Fortunately, in our case of Theorem 1.3 we need not care about such problems, since the STF potential (see (1.14)) has all required properties for semiclassical spectral asymptotics.

Moreover, in Theorem 1.5 Ivrii already captures (1.11) on the region where only the lowest Landau band is occupied, i.e on $\{\mathbf{x} ||\mathbf{x}| \geq C_0 Z/B\}$ with a large constant C_0 .

We mention some important steps of the proof of Theorem 1.3. Let ϕ^{STF} denote the effective STF potential (for simplicity think of the neutral case $N = Z$)

$$\phi^{\text{STF}}(x) = Z|x|^{-1} - \rho^{\text{STF}} * |x|^{-1}, \quad (1.14)$$

where ρ^{STF} is the minimizer of the STF functional (1.8). We will see in Section 2.1 that the main contribution of (1.11) is given by

$$\left| \text{Tr}[\Pi_0(H_{\mathbf{A}} - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}))\Pi_0]_- - \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp d\mathbf{x}}{2\pi} [p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})]_- \right|, \quad (1.15)$$

with $[t]_- = \min\{0, t\}$. Recall

$$E^{\text{STF}} = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dp d\mathbf{x}}{2\pi} [p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})]_- - D(\rho^{\text{STF}}, \rho^{\text{STF}}). \quad (1.16)$$

With the decomposition $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^3, d\mathbf{x}; \mathbb{C}^2) = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}, dz) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ the projector Π_0 can be written as

$$\Pi_0 = \sum_{m \geq 0} |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m| \otimes 1 \otimes P_{\downarrow}, \quad (1.17)$$

where ϕ_m denotes the function in the lowest Landau band with angular momentum $-m \leq 0$, i.e., using polar coordinates (r, φ) ,

$$\phi_m(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \sqrt{\frac{B}{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} \left(\frac{Br^2}{2} \right)^{m/2} e^{-im\varphi} e^{-Br^2/4}. \quad (1.18)$$

Using this and $H_{\mathbf{A}}\Phi_m = 0$, we can write

$$\Pi_0 H_{\mathbf{A}} \Pi_0 = \sum_{m \geq 0} |\phi_m\rangle \langle \phi_m| \otimes (-\partial_z^2) \otimes P_{\downarrow}. \quad (1.19)$$

By means of the above decompositions one gets the relation (cf. [H] Theorem 3.13)

$$\text{Tr}[\Pi_0(-\partial_z^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}))\Pi_0]_- = \sum_m \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}[-\partial_z^2 - \phi_m^{\text{STF}}(z)]_-, \quad (1.20)$$

with

$$\phi_m^{\text{STF}}(z) = \int d\mathbf{x}_{\perp} \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) |\phi_m(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})|^2. \quad (1.21)$$

Next we multiply the m -th term of the right hand side of (1.20) with $\frac{B}{2\pi} \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_{\perp})$, where

$$\chi_m(\mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \sqrt{2m/B} \leq |\mathbf{x}_{\perp}| \leq \sqrt{2(m+1)/B} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

and integrate over $\mathbf{x}_{\perp}$, which is just an identity operation. Since we are allowed to put the sum into the trace as well into the $[\]_-$ bracket we arrive at

$$\text{Tr}[\Pi_0(-\partial_z^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}))\Pi_0]_- = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_{\perp} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}[-\partial_z^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x})]_-, \quad (1.23)$$

with

$$\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_m \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) \phi_m^{\text{STF}}(z). \quad (1.24)$$

The Equation (1.23) follows from the fact that the terms $\chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) \phi_m^{\text{STF}}(z)$ (1.24) have disjoint supports.

Hence, (1.15) can be written as

$$\left| \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} [-\partial_z^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x})]_- - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} [p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})]_- \right) \right|. \quad (1.25)$$

We shall estimate (1.25) by splitting into the following two terms:

$$\left| \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} [-\partial_z^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x})]_- - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} [p^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x})]_- \right) \right| \quad (1.26)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} [p^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x})]_- - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} [p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})]_- \right) \right|. \quad (1.27)$$

1.2 Modified STF functionals

From Equations (1.20) and (1.23) it is apparent that the STF energy (1.9) is not the most natural semiclassical approximation of $E_{\text{conf}}^{\text{Q}}$. As already argued in [H], (1.20) suggests the definition of a functional, where the integration over $\mathbf{x}_\perp$, the variables orthogonal to the magnetic field, is replaced by an expansion in angular momentum eigenfunctions in the lowest Landau band. This leads to a *discrete STF functional* (DSTF) depending on a sequence of one-dimensional densities $\rho = (\rho_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho] = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left(\kappa \int \rho_m(z)^3 - Z \int V_m(z) \rho_m(z) dz \right) + \overline{D}(\rho, \rho), \quad (1.28)$$

where $\kappa = \pi^2/3$,

$$\overline{D}(\rho, \rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \int V_{m,n}(z - z') \rho_m(z) \rho_n(z') dz dz', \quad (1.29)$$

and the potentials V_m and $V_{m,n}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_m(z) &= \int \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x}|} |\phi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp)|^2 d\mathbf{x}_\perp, \\ V_{m,n}(z - z') &= \int \frac{|\phi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp)|^2 |\phi_n(\mathbf{x}'_\perp)|^2}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d\mathbf{x}_\perp d\mathbf{x}'_\perp. \end{aligned} \quad (1.30)$$

An equivalent functional, depending on a three-dimensional density ρ , can be obtained as in (1.23), if in STF theory the Coulomb potential is replaced by

$$|\mathbf{x}|^{-1} = \sum_m \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp |\mathbf{x}|^{-1} \phi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp). \quad (1.31)$$

The resulting *modified* STF functional is given by

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{MSTF}}[\rho] = \frac{4\pi^4}{3B^2} \int d\mathbf{x} \rho(\mathbf{x})^3 - \int d\mathbf{x} |\mathbf{x}|^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{D}(\rho, \rho), \quad (1.32)$$

with

$$\tilde{D}(\rho, \rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} V_{m,n}(z - z') \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) \chi_n(\mathbf{y}_\perp) \rho(\mathbf{x}) \rho(\mathbf{y}). \quad (1.33)$$

Let $\rho^{\text{DSTF}} = (\rho_m^{\text{DSTF}}(z))_m$ and $\rho^{\text{MSTF}} = \rho^{\text{MSTF}}(\mathbf{x})$ be the ground state densities of (1.28) respectively (1.32) corresponding to a fixed particle number N . Then the relationship between the densities reads

$$\rho^{\text{MSTF}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} \sum_m \rho_m^{\text{DSTF}}(z) \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp). \quad (1.34)$$

Furthermore the energies are equal,

$$E^{\text{MSTF}}(N, Z, B) = E^{\text{DSTF}}(N, Z, B). \quad (1.35)$$

Since a short computation shows that for $B \geq Z^{4/3}$ the difference between the D(M)STF energy and the STF energy is smaller than $B^{4/5} Z^{3/5}$ the estimate (1.11) with STF replaced by D(M)STF immediately follows for this region ($B \geq Z^{4/3}$).

1.7. THEOREM. *Let $Z^{4/3} \leq B \leq Z^3$ and $N \sim Z$. Then*

$$|E_{\text{conf}}^{\text{Q}}(N, Z, B) - E^{\text{D(M)STF}}(N, Z, B)| \leq C B^{4/5} Z^{3/5}. \quad (1.36)$$

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

2.1 Derivation of lower and upper bounds to $E_{\text{conf}}^{\text{Q}}$

First of all, recall that the TF equation satisfied by the minimizer of (1.8) under the constraint $\int \rho = N$ is

$$\kappa(\rho^{\text{STF}})^2/B^2 = [Z|\mathbf{x}|^{-1} - \rho^{\text{STF}} + \nu]_+ = [\phi^{\text{STF}} + \nu]_+, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\nu = \nu(N)$ is the chemical potential corresponding to the electron number N . Using (2.1) one sees that the STF energy (1.9) can be written as

$$E^{\text{STF}} = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} [p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) - \nu]_- + \nu N - D(\rho^{\text{STF}}, \rho^{\text{STF}}). \quad (2.2)$$

This expression will be used for deriving upper and lower bounds to the quantum mechanical ground state energy E_{conf}^Q .

Lower bound:

Let ψ denote a ground state wave function of H_N , then we can write E_{conf}^Q as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{conf}}^Q &= (\psi, \Pi_0^N H_N \Pi_0^N \psi) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\psi, \Pi_0^N [H_A(\mathbf{x}_i) - Z|\mathbf{x}_i|^{-1} + \rho^{\text{STF}} * |\mathbf{x}_i|^{-1} - \nu] \Pi_0^N \psi) \\ &\quad + N\nu - 2D(\rho_\psi, \rho^{\text{STF}}) + \sum_{i < j} (\psi, |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^{-1} \psi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where we have added and subtracted the term $\rho^{\text{STF}} * |\mathbf{x}|^{-1} - \nu$ and used the definition

$$\rho_\psi(\mathbf{x}) = N \sum_{s^i} \int |\psi(\mathbf{x}, x_2, \dots, x_N; s^1, \dots, s^N)|^2 dx_2 \dots dx_N. \quad (2.4)$$

By means of the Lieb-Oxford inequality [LO]

$$\sum_{i < j} (\psi, |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^{-1} \psi) \geq D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi) - 1.68 \int \rho_\psi^{4/3}, \quad (2.5)$$

(2.3) can be bounded from below by

$$E_{\text{conf}}^Q \geq \text{Tr}[\Pi_0(H_{\mathbf{A}} - \phi^{\text{STF}} - \nu)\Pi_0]_- - D(\rho^{\text{STF}}, \rho^{\text{STF}}) + \nu N - 1.68 \int \rho_\psi^{4/3}, \quad (2.6)$$

where we have used that

$$D(\rho_\psi - \rho^{\text{STF}}, \rho_\psi - \rho^{\text{STF}}) \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Furthermore by (1.23) and (2.2) we get

$$E_{\text{conf}}^Q \geq E^{\text{STF}} - \mathcal{R}_1 - 1.68 \int \rho_\psi^{4/3}, \quad (2.8)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1 &= \left| \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} [-\partial_z^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x}) - \nu]_- - \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} [p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) - \nu]_- \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Since ψ is a ground state wave function, or at least an approximate ground state wave function, we can estimate (cf. [LSY1] (8.5))

$$\begin{aligned} \int \rho_\psi^{4/3} &\leq \left(\int \rho_\psi^3 \right)^{1/6} \left(\int \rho_\psi \right)^{5/6} \leq \text{const.} (B^2 |E^{\text{STF}}|)^{1/6} N^{5/6} \\ &\leq \text{const.} Z^{1/5} N^{14/15} B^{2/5} \leq C B^{4/5} Z^{3/5}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

using $N \sim Z$ and $B \geq Z^{4/3}$.

Upper bound:

For every fixed integer N and a normalized N -particle wave function ψ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{conf}}^{\text{Q}} &\leq (\psi, \Pi_0^N H_N \Pi_0^N \psi) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\psi, \Pi_0^N [H_A(\mathbf{x}_i) - Z|\mathbf{x}_i|^{-1} + \rho^{\text{STF}} * |\mathbf{x}_i|^{-1} - \nu] \Pi_0^N \psi) \\ &\quad + N\nu - 2D(\rho_\psi, \rho^{\text{STF}}) + \sum_{i < j} (\psi, |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j|^{-1} \psi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

We set

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_N, \quad (2.12)$$

where ϕ_i is the eigenvector corresponding to the i -th lowest eigenvalue λ_i of the one-particle operator

$$\Pi_0(H_A - Z|\mathbf{x}|^{-1} + \rho^{\text{STF}} * |\mathbf{x}|^{-1})\Pi_0. \quad (2.13)$$

By means of the decomposition

$$\text{Tr}[\Pi_0(H_A(\mathbf{x}) - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) - \nu)\Pi_0]_- = \sum_i [\lambda_i - \nu]_- = \sum_{i=1}^N (\lambda_i - \nu) + \sum_{\lambda_N < \lambda_i < \nu} (\lambda_i - \nu), \quad (2.14)$$

and the equation (2.7) we can estimate (2.11) as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\text{conf}}^{\text{Q}} &\leq \text{Tr}[\Pi_0(H_A - \phi^{\text{STF}} - \nu)\Pi_0]_- + \nu N - D(\rho^{\text{STF}}, \rho^{\text{STF}}) \\ &\quad + D(\rho_\psi - \rho^{\text{STF}}, \rho_\psi - \rho^{\text{STF}}) - \sum_{\lambda_N < \lambda_i < \nu} (\lambda_i - \nu), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

which implies

$$E_{\text{conf}}^{\text{Q}} \leq \mathcal{R}_1 + R_2 + R_3, \quad (2.16)$$

with

$$R_2 = - \sum_{\lambda_N < \lambda_i < \nu} (\lambda_i - \nu), \quad (2.17)$$

$$R_3 = D(\rho_\psi - \rho^{\text{STF}}, \rho_\psi - \rho^{\text{STF}}). \quad (2.18)$$

Since it is difficult to tackle directly the term R_2 , we estimate R_2 by $|\lambda_N - \nu|$ multiplied by the number of eigenvalues of the operator (2.13) between λ_N and ν . We know

$$\frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \int \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} \Theta_-(p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) - \nu) = \int \rho^{\text{STF}} = N, \quad (2.19)$$

with

$$\Theta_-(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t \leq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

So the number of eigenvalues of (2.13) between λ_N and ν can be expressed by

$$\text{Tr} \Theta_-(\Pi_0(-\partial_z^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) - \nu)\Pi_0) - \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \Theta_-(p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) - \nu). \quad (2.21)$$

Mimicking the derivation of (1.23), with $[t]_-$ being replaced by $\Theta_-(t)$, leads to

$$(2.21) = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \Theta_-(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) - \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \Theta_-(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) \right), \quad (2.22)$$

where we have defined

$$\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} = -\partial_z^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} = p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.23)$$

Hence, instead of R_2 we estimate the error term

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = |\lambda_N - \nu| \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \Theta_-(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) - \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \Theta_-(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) \right). \quad (2.24)$$

We introduce the notation

$$e(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}, \mu) = \Theta_-(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \mu), \quad (2.25)$$

the projector of the operator $\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}$ onto the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalues smaller or equal to μ . Let ψ be given by (2.12), then we have

$$\rho_\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} e(z, z; \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}, \lambda_N), \quad (2.26)$$

whereas the semiclassical density ρ^{STF} can be written as

$$\rho^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dp \Theta_-(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) = \frac{B}{2\pi} [\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) + \nu]_+^{1/2}. \quad (2.27)$$

Furthermore we introduce the auxiliary density

$$\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} [\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda_N]_+^{1/2}. \quad (2.28)$$

In order to bound the error term R_3 from above, we combine the two terms

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = D(\rho_\psi - \bar{\rho}, \rho_\psi - \bar{\rho}), \quad (2.29)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_4 = D(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho}, \rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho}), \quad (2.30)$$

which are easier to handle than R_3 alone. Observe that by convexity one has $R_3 \leq 2\mathcal{R}_3 + 2\mathcal{R}_4$. In the next sections we will separately have to carry out the estimations

$$\mathcal{R}_i \leq CB^{4/5} Z^{3/5} \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 4. \quad (2.31)$$

2.2 Methods used in the proof

The methods used here in order to estimate the error terms $\mathcal{R}_{1-4}$, have been established in [IS] and [I2]. For sake of better understanding we will state here the most important theorem, which we use throughout this section.

Consider the Schrödinger operator

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \phi(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.32)$$

Its symbol is denoted by

$$\bar{h} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 - \phi(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.33)$$

In addition there are the following conditions imposed on the real potential ϕ :

There are Lipschitz functions $l(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) > 0$, such that:

$$(i) \quad |\nabla l(\mathbf{x})| \leq M, \quad (2.34)$$

$$(ii) \quad cf(\mathbf{y}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) \leq Cf(\mathbf{y}), \quad (2.35)$$

$$(iii) \quad |\partial^\nu \phi(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_\nu f(\mathbf{x})^2 l(\mathbf{x})^{-|\nu|} \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}^d \quad (2.36)$$

Under these assumptions Ivrii and Sigal have proved the following theorem:

2.1. THEOREM. ([IS] Theorem 7.1.)

Assume conditions (i) – (iii) are obeyed and let ψ be smooth and obey $|\partial^\nu \psi(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_\nu l(\mathbf{x})^{-|\nu|}$ for any ν . Let $g_s(\lambda) = [-\lambda]_+^s$ for some $s \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\left| \text{Tr}(\psi g_s(H)) - \int \frac{dx dp}{2\pi} \psi g_s(\bar{h}) \right| \quad (2.37)$$

$$\leq C \int_{\text{supp} \psi} d\mathbf{x} \max \left[\left(\frac{1}{f(\mathbf{x})l(\mathbf{x})} \right)^{\alpha-s-d}, 1 \right] l(\mathbf{x})^{-2s-d}, \quad (2.38)$$

Here $\alpha = 1$ if either $d \geq 2$ or $d = 1$ and ϕ obeys

$$|\phi(\mathbf{x})| + l(\mathbf{x})|\nabla \phi(\mathbf{x})| \geq \varepsilon f(\mathbf{x})^2 \quad (2.39)$$

on $\{\mathbf{x} | l(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1\}$, with some $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\alpha = 1/2$ otherwise.

The most important tools for the proof of Theorem 2.1 are multiscale analysis and semiclassical spectral asymptotics. First of all, the domain, i.e. the support of ψ , is covered by a countable number of balls. Then on each of these balls $B(\mathbf{y}, l(\mathbf{y}))$, the operator H is transformed into

$$K_h = f^{-2}U(l)HU(l)^{-1} = -\frac{h^2}{2}\Delta - V(\mathbf{x}), \quad (2.40)$$

with $h = l(\mathbf{y})^{-1}f(\mathbf{y})^{-1}$, by means of a unitary scaling transformation $U(l)$, which maps the ball $B(\mathbf{y}, l(\mathbf{y}))$ into $B(0, 1)$. Next Theorem [IS] 6.1, which we state

below, is applied to the sum of the negative eigenvalues of $g_s(K_h)$. After rescaling and summing over all balls one arrives at Theorem 2.1.

The symbol of K_h is given by

$$k(\mathbf{x}, p) = p^2/2 - V(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.41)$$

If furthermore all derivatives of V are bounded by a constant, i.e.

$$|\partial^\nu V(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_\nu \quad \text{on } B(0, 2) \quad \forall i, \quad (2.42)$$

then the following theorem is valid:

2.2. THEOREM. *Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$ and let 0 be a regular value of the function k_0 restricted to $\text{supp} \psi \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Then for $h \leq 1$*

$$\text{Tr}(\psi g_s(K_h)) = h^{-d} \int \frac{dx dp}{2\pi} \psi g_s(k(x, p)) + O(h^{s+1-d}). \quad (2.43)$$

Assume next that the potential V can be written as

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = V_0(\mathbf{x}) + h\bar{V}(\mathbf{x}, h), \quad (2.44)$$

such that the principal symbol of K_h reads $k_0(\mathbf{x}, p) = p^2/2 - V_0(\mathbf{x})$. Then, if additionally $\bar{V}$ fulfills (2.42) (uniformly in h), Theorem 2.2 remains valid for $s = 0$ with k replaced by the principal symbol k_0 . (cf. [I2] Theorem 4.5.3)

2.3. THEOREM. *Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B(0, 1))$ and let 0 be a regular value of the function k_0 restricted to $\text{supp} \psi \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Then for $h \leq 1$*

$$\text{Tr}(\psi g_0(K_h)) = h^{-d} \int \frac{dx dp}{2\pi} \psi g_0(k_0(x, p)) + O(h^{1-d}). \quad (2.45)$$

2.3 Relationship between the potentials ϕ^{STF} and $\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}$.

Next we collect some information about the potentials ϕ^{STF} and $\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}$.

The scaling functions, which we will use in order to apply Theorem 2.1 to the operator $H_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}$, have to be chosen such that the conditions (2.34)-(2.36) hold for the potentials ϕ^{STF} and $\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}$, at least away from the origin.

Since we will see that ϕ^{STF} behaves like $Z|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}$ for $|\mathbf{x}| \leq r_S$, the edge of the STF atom, it is thus natural to define

$$l(\mathbf{x}) = (\text{const.})|\mathbf{x}| \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbf{x})^2 = Z|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}. \quad (2.46)$$

If we denote the effective STF potential as

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) + \nu, \quad (2.47)$$

then the following Lemma is valid:

2.4. LEMMA. (i) ([LSY2]) The density $\rho^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})$ as well as $[V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})]_+$ have compact support with radius $r_S \leq 3.3\pi^2 Z^{1/5} B^{-2/5}$.

(ii) For fixed but arbitrary $\mathbf{x}_\perp$, $\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x})$ are $\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus 0)$ as a function of z and

$$|\partial_z^\nu \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C_\nu f(\mathbf{x})^2 l(\mathbf{x})^{-\nu}, \quad |\partial_z^\nu \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x})| \leq C'_\nu f(\mathbf{x})^2 l(\mathbf{x})^{-\nu}, \quad (2.48)$$

for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. (ii) The C^∞ property follows from the TF equation (2.1) and the definition (1.24). Equation (2.48) follows from (2.1) and (1.24) and the fact, that

$$|\partial_z^\nu Z|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}| \leq f(\mathbf{x})^2 |\mathbf{x}|^{-\nu} \frac{z}{|\mathbf{x}|} \leq f(\mathbf{x})^2 l(\mathbf{x})^{-\nu}. \quad (2.49)$$

The proof of (i) is given in [LSY2] Theorem 4.11. $\square$

2.5. Remark. The estimates (2.48) seem to be very crude, especially in the vicinity of r_S , but nevertheless they are good enough to provide precise error estimates. Next let us try to get an idea how $V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{STF}}$ behaves in the vicinity of the radius r_S . We consider the neutral case $N = Z$. Since $V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{STF}}$ is spherical symmetric, we can make the ansatz $V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) = \chi(r)/r$, ($|\mathbf{x}| = r$), which leads by (2.1) to

$$\chi''(r) = Br^{1/2}\chi(r) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(0) = Z. \quad (2.50)$$

Around each point r_0 , this equation has a solution χ that can be expanded in a series of terms $c_i[r_0 - r]^i$ with $i \geq 4$. In the vicinity of $r_0 = r_S$ we get the approximate solution

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{STF}} \sim \frac{Z^{1/5} B^{8/5}}{r} [r_S - r]_+^4, \quad (2.51)$$

which shows that $V_{\text{eff}}^{\text{STF}}$ tends to 0 as $[r_S - r]_+^4$ as $r \rightarrow r_S$.

Next we fix a point $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and we set $l = l(\mathbf{y})$ and $f = f(\mathbf{y}) = Z^{1/2}|\mathbf{y}|^{1/2}$. (We assume that (2.34) - (2.36) are fulfilled in $B(\mathbf{y}, l(\mathbf{y}))$. This in our case can be done by defining $l(\mathbf{y})$ e.g. as $|\mathbf{y}|/2$.) Furthermore we define the unitary transformation

$$U(l) : \psi(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow l^{3/2} \psi(l\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}), \quad (2.52)$$

which maps the ball $B(\mathbf{y}, l(\mathbf{y}))$ to $B(0, 1)$ and transforms the operator $-\partial_z^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}$ into

$$-l^{-2} \partial_z^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(l\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}). \quad (2.53)$$

Introduce the new potential

$$\widetilde{W}(\mathbf{x}) = f^{-2} \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(l\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}). \quad (2.54)$$

The resulting operator is related to the original one (2.23) as

$$U(l)\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}U(l)^{-1} = f^2\tilde{K}_h, \quad (2.55)$$

with

$$\tilde{K}_h = -h^2\partial_z^2 - \widetilde{W}(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad h = (lf)^{-1}. \quad (2.56)$$

If we denote $W(\mathbf{x}) = f^{-2}\phi^{\text{STF}}(l\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})$, then one easily sees that $\widetilde{W}$ can equivalently be defined by applying (1.24), i.e. the operation $\widetilde{\cdot}$, to W , with B replaced by $B' = Bl^2$. In other words the unitary transformation $U(l)$ scales the magnetic field strength B to $B' = Bl^2$ and for the difference $\widetilde{W} - W$ we get the following Lemma.

2.6. LEMMA. *There exists a function $a(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ such that*

$$\widetilde{W}(\mathbf{x}) - W(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha a(\mathbf{x}, \alpha), \quad (2.57)$$

with $\alpha = B^{-1/2}l^{-1}$ and $a(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ fulfills (2.42) uniformly in α for $\alpha \leq 1$.

Proof. Since the potential ϕ^{STF} is spherical symmetric and Equation (2.48) is fulfilled for derivatives in all directions, we get for $\mathbf{x} \in B(0, 2)$

$$|\partial^\nu W(\mathbf{x})| = |f^{-2}\partial^\nu \phi^{\text{STF}}(l\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})| \leq C_\nu \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathbb{N}^3. \quad (2.58)$$

Hence, $W(\mathbf{x})$, together with all derivatives, is bounded above by a constant on a ball around $\mathbf{x} = 0$. Since the operation $\widetilde{\cdot}$ smears the potential, for every $\mathbf{x}$, over a region $\sim \alpha$ in the $|\mathbf{x}_\perp|$ -direction the difference $\widetilde{W} - W$ can be expressed by α times a function $a(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)$ which is bounded by a constant. Since $\partial_z^n \widetilde{W}(\mathbf{x}) = \partial_z^n W(\mathbf{x})$ the same argument can be given for all derivatives. $\square$

Let us rewrite the operator K_h in the form

$$K_h = -h^2\partial_z^2 - (W(\mathbf{x}) + \alpha a(\mathbf{x}, \alpha)). \quad (2.59)$$

In order to be allowed to apply Theorem 2.3 to (2.59), i.e. in order to guarantee that $p^2 - W(x)$ is the principal symbol of K_h , it is necessary, that

$$\alpha \leq h \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad B^{-1/2}l^{-1} \leq l^{-1}f^{-1}, \quad (2.60)$$

which leads to the condition

$$|\mathbf{y}| \geq Z/B. \quad (2.61)$$

Hence, in the sense of Theorem 2.3, this implies that in the region $\{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \geq Z/B\}$ Theorem 2.1, with $s = 0$ can be applied to the error terms $\mathcal{R}_{2-4}$, with ϕ^{STF} replaced by ϕ^{STF} . Next we decompose $\mathbb{R}^3$ into $\Omega_1 = \{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \leq Z/B\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \geq Z/B\}$ and estimate the error terms $\mathcal{R}_{1-4}$ on each of these regions separately.

2.4 Analysis in the region Ω_1

We first assume that $B < Z^2$. This assumption is made in order to be sure that Ω_1 is not completely contained in the non-semiclassical region $\{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \leq 1/Z\}$, where each term, the quantum mechanical as well as the semiclassical, has to be estimated separately. Furthermore let $\psi^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$ be supported in $\{\mathbf{x} | 0 \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq Z/B(1+\epsilon)\}$ and fulfill $\psi^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ in $\{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \leq (Z/B)(1-\epsilon)\}$, as well as $|\partial_z^n \psi^{(1)}| \leq C_n l(\mathbf{x})^{-n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

With respect to $\mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}_2$, we in particular have to estimate the term

$$\frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}(\psi^{(1)} g_s(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu)) - \int \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} \psi^{(1)} g_s(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) \right), \quad (2.62)$$

with $\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}$ and $h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}$ given by (2.23). Let $\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}$ be defined analogously, i.e. $\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} = p^2 - \widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}$. Adding and subtracting $\int \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} \psi^{(1)} g_s(\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu)$ in (2.62), we split (2.62) into

$$R_1^s(\psi^{(1)}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}(\psi^{(1)} g_s(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu)) - \int \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} \psi^{(1)} g_s(\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) \right) \quad (2.63)$$

and the fully semiclassical part

$$R_2^s(\psi^{(1)}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\int \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} \psi^{(1)} g_s(\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) - \int \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} \psi^{(1)} g_s(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) \right). \quad (2.64)$$

Since $l(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1$, which is equivalent to $|\mathbf{x}| \geq Z^{-1}$, is necessary for being able to apply Theorem 2.1, we have to carry out a corresponding decomposition of Ω_1 . Let $\psi_1^{(1)} + \psi_2^{(1)} = \psi^{(1)}$ be a partition of unity on Ω_1 , with

$$\text{supp } \psi_1^{(1)} = \{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \leq Z^{-1}(1+\epsilon)\}, \quad \text{supp } \psi_2^{(1)} = \{\mathbf{x} | Z^{-1}(1-\epsilon) \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq Z/B(1+\epsilon)\}, \quad (2.65)$$

and $|\partial_z^n \psi_i^{(1)}| \leq C_n l(\mathbf{x})^{-n}$ for $i = 1, 2$, with C_n independent of Z and B .

2.7. LEMMA. *With above definitions we have for (2.63)*

$$R_1^s(\psi^{(1)}) \leq C B^{\frac{3}{2}s-1} Z^{2-\frac{1}{2}s}. \quad (2.66)$$

Proof. On $\text{supp } \psi_2^{(1)}$ we apply Theorem 2.1 to (2.62) with $\alpha = 1$ and $d = 1$, which implies for arbitrary but fixed $\mathbf{x}_\perp$ (we may set the chemical potential $\nu = 0$ for simplicity, the computations for arbitrary ν are essentially the same)

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}(\psi_2^{(1)} g_s(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp})) - \int \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} \psi_2^{(1)} g_s(\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}) \right| \leq C \int_{\text{supp } \psi_2^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}_\perp, z)} dz l(\mathbf{x})^{-1-s} f(\mathbf{x})^s. \quad (2.67)$$

Hence, multiplying with $\frac{B}{2\pi}$ and integrating over $\mathbf{x}_\perp$ leads to

$$R_1^s(\psi^{(1)}) \leq C \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} l(\mathbf{x})^{-1-s} f(\mathbf{x})^s \leq B Z^{\frac{1}{2}s} \int_{Z^{-1}}^{Z/B} r^{1-\frac{3}{2}s} dr \leq C B^{\frac{3}{2}s-1} Z^{2-\frac{1}{2}s}. \quad (2.68)$$

In the case of $r \leq Z^{-1}$ the terms of (2.63) have to be estimated separately. The semiclassical part reads

$$\frac{B}{2\pi} \int \frac{d\mathbf{x} dp}{2\pi} \psi_1^{(1)} [\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}]_-^{1/2+s} \leq \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq Z^{-1}} d\mathbf{x} [\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}]_+^{1/2+s} \leq C B Z^{2s-2} \quad (2.69)$$

An analogue estimate one derives for $\frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}(\psi_1^{(1)} g_s(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}))$ by using [IS] Lemma 7.9. $\square$

2.8. LEMMA. *For (2.64) we have*

$$R_2^s(\psi^{(1)}) \leq C Z^{s+1/2} B^{s/2-1/4}. \quad (2.70)$$

Proof. Obviously, the main contribution to the magnitude of the semiclassical term

$$R_2^s(\psi^{(1)}) \leq \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x} \psi^{(1)} \left| [\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x})]_+^{s+1/2} - [\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})]_+^{s+1/2} \right| \quad (2.71)$$

is produced by the Coulomb singularity, i.e

$$R_2^s(\psi^{(1)}) \leq \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq B^{-1/2}} d\mathbf{x} |\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})|_+^{s+1/2} \leq \quad (2.72)$$

$$\leq C B \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq B^{-1/2}} d\mathbf{x} \left(\frac{Z}{r} \right)^{s+1/2} \leq C Z^{s+1/2} B^{s/2-1/4}. \quad (2.73)$$

$\square$

Hence, we are ready to carry out the estimate of the error terms $\mathcal{R}_{1-4}$, restricted to Ω_1 , which we denote as $\mathcal{R}_i(\Omega_1)$.

2.9. PROPOSITION. *For $Z^{4/3} \leq B \leq Z^3$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1(\Omega_1) &= \frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}(\psi^{(1)} [\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu]_-) - \int \frac{dz dp}{2\pi} \psi^{(1)} [h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu]_- \right) \\ &\leq C B^{4/5} Z^{3/5}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.74)$$

Proof. This is done by putting together Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8 and setting $s = 1$. $\square$

Before turning to $\mathcal{R}_2(\Omega_1)$ we need a preparing Lemma.

2.10. LEMMA. *Let λ_N be the N -th eigenvalue of (2.13) and ν the chemical potential of (2.1) belonging to the electron number N . Then*

$$|\lambda_N - \nu| \leq CB^{3/5}Z^{1/5}. \quad (2.75)$$

Proof. We assume now that we have already got the estimate

$$\left| \text{Tr} \Theta_- (\Pi_0 (-\partial_z^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}) \Pi_0) - \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \Theta_-(p^2 - \phi^{\text{STF}}) \right| \leq CB^{1/5}Z^{2/5}, \quad (2.76)$$

which till now we have only proven on Ω_1 , by setting $s = 0$ in Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8. The missing part will be proved in Lemma 2.16. Since we know by definition

$$\frac{B}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} \Theta_-(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \lambda_N) = N = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} [\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x}) + \nu]_+^{1/2}, \quad (2.77)$$

we get

$$B^{1/5}Z^{2/5} \geq \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} \left([\phi^{\text{STF}} + \lambda_N]_+^{1/2} - [\phi^{\text{STF}} + \nu]_+^{-1/2} \right) \geq \quad (2.78)$$

$$C|\lambda_N - \nu| B \int_0^{rs} [\phi^{\text{STF}} + \nu']_+^{-1/2} r^2 dr \geq C|\lambda_N - \nu| (BZ^{-1/2} r_s^{7/2}), \quad (2.79)$$

for some $\nu' \in [\nu, \lambda_N]$. This implies the statement of the lemma. $\square$

2.11. PROPOSITION.

$$\mathcal{R}_2(\Omega_1) = |\lambda_N - \nu| \frac{B}{2\pi} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})} (\psi^{(1)} \Theta_-(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu)) - \right. \right. \quad (2.80)$$

$$\left. - \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \psi^{(1)} \Theta_-(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) \right| \leq CB^{4/5}Z^{3/5}. \quad (2.81)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.10 and combining the estimations of Lemmata 2.7 and 2.8 with $s = 0$. $\square$

2.12. Remark. We remark here that if one has a partition of unity $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 = 1$, then the relation

$$D(f, f) \leq 2D(f\varphi_1, f\varphi_1) + 2D(f\varphi_2, f\varphi_2) \quad (2.82)$$

is valid, which one gets by the simple inequality

$$D(f\varphi_1 - f\varphi_2, f\varphi_1 - f\varphi_2) \geq 0. \quad (2.83)$$

Remark 2.12 justifies the notations $\mathcal{R}_3(\Omega_i)$, $\mathcal{R}_4(\Omega_i)$, since (2.82) means that we can consider each region Ω_i separately.

2.13. PROPOSITION. For $Z^{4/3} \leq B \leq Z^3$ we get with (2.28)

$$\mathcal{R}_4(\Omega_1) = D(\psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho}), \psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho})) \leq CB^{4/5} Z^{3/5}. \quad (2.84)$$

Proof. Recall

$$\rho^{\text{STF}} = \frac{B}{2\pi} [\phi^{\text{STF}} + \nu]_+^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\rho} = [\phi^{\text{STF}} + \lambda_N]_+^{1/2}. \quad (2.85)$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_4(\Omega_1) &= D(\psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho}), \psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho})) \leq CB^2 \|\psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho})\|_{6/5}^2 \\ &\leq CB^2 |\lambda_N - \nu|^2 \|\psi^{(1)}(\phi^{\text{STF}} + \nu')^{-1/2}\|_{6/5}^2 \leq Z^{27/5} B^{-14/5}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.86)$$

□

In the case of $\mathcal{R}_3(\Omega_1)$ we proceed as above, namely introduce the auxiliary density $\tilde{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} [\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda_N]_+^{1/2}$ and decompose $\mathcal{R}_3(\Omega_1)$ by using convexity.

2.14. PROPOSITION. For $Z^{4/3} \leq B \leq Z^3$ we have

$$\mathcal{R}_3(\Omega_1) = D(\psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \rho_\psi), \psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \rho_\psi)) \leq CB^{4/5} Z^{3/5}. \quad (2.87)$$

Proof. By decomposition we have on the one hand the fully semiclassical and easier to handle part

$$\mathcal{R}_4(\Omega_1) = D(\psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \tilde{\rho}), \psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \tilde{\rho})) \leq B^2 \|\psi^{(1)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \tilde{\rho})\|_{6/5}^2 \leq B^{4/5} Z^{3/5}. \quad (2.88)$$

On the other hand there is the more interesting term

$$D(\psi^{(1)}(\rho_\psi - \tilde{\rho}), \psi^{(1)}(\rho_\psi - \tilde{\rho})). \quad (2.89)$$

For $r \leq Z^{-1}$ we separately calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &(\frac{B}{2\pi})^2 D(\psi_1^{(1)} e(z, z; \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}, \lambda_N), \psi_1^{(1)} e(z, z; \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}, \lambda_N)) \\ &\leq CB^2 \|\psi_1^{(1)} e(z, z; \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}, \lambda_N)\|_{6/5}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.90)$$

and

$$(\frac{B}{2\pi})^2 D(\psi_1^{(1)} [\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}} + \lambda_N]_+^{1/2}, \psi_1^{(1)} [\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}} + \lambda_N]_+^{1/2}) \leq CB^2 \|\psi_1^{(1)} [\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}} + \lambda_N]_+^{1/2}\|_{6/5}^2. \quad (2.91)$$

Whereas (2.91) can be bounded by

$$CB^2 \|\psi_1^{(1)} [Z/|\mathbf{x}|]^{1/2}\|_{6/5}^2 \leq CB^2/Z^3, \quad (2.92)$$

(2.90) can analogously be estimated by [IS] Lemma 10.7, or [I1] Proposition 4.3.

The term

$$D(\psi_2^{(1)}(\rho_\psi - \tilde{\rho}), \psi_2^{(1)}(\rho_\psi - \tilde{\rho})) \quad (2.93)$$

is a bit more delicate. We can either use [I1] Proposition 4.3 or [I2] Theorem 4.5.4 (i), which states that for K_h , given in (2.40), with V fulfilling (2.42) and $|V(\mathbf{x}) + \tau| \geq \epsilon$

$$\left| e(x, x; K_h, \tau) - h^{-d} \int \Theta_-(k(\mathbf{x}, p) - \tau) \right| \leq h^{1-d} \forall \mathbf{x} \in B(0, 1/2). \quad (2.94)$$

Since $|\lambda_N| \leq B^{3/5} Z^{1/5}$, we get that $|\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}} + \lambda_N| \geq \epsilon f(\mathbf{x})^2$ in Ω_1 . Hence, we can apply (2.94) to our case, with $d = 1$, yielding

$$|e(z, z; \tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}, \lambda_N) - [\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda_N]_+^{1/2}| \leq l(\mathbf{x})^{-1}. \quad (2.95)$$

The term l^{-1} stems from rescaling $B(0, 1)$ to $B(0, l)$. So,

$$D(\psi_2^{(1)}(\rho_\psi - \tilde{\rho}), \psi_2^{(1)}(\rho_\psi - \tilde{\rho})) \leq CB^2 \|\psi_2^{(1)} l(\mathbf{x})^{-1}\|_{6/5}^2 \leq CB^2 [Z/B]^3. \quad (2.96)$$

□

In the case $B \geq Z^2$, Z/B is smaller than $1/Z$ and in the above calculations only the separate terms have to be taken into account, which yields analogue estimates as above.

2.5 Analysis in the outer Region Ω_2

This region has already been treated by Ivrii in [I1] Section 4.

Recall first that r_S is the radius of the support of ϕ^{STF} , in the neutral case, and of $[\phi^{\text{STF}} + \nu]_+$ otherwise. In order that Theorem 2.1 can be applied ϕ^{STF} and $\widetilde{\phi^{\text{STF}}}$ have to fulfill condition (2.39). We know that $\nabla \phi^{\text{STF}}(r_S) = \phi^{\text{STF}}(r_S) = 0$. Hence, we look for a parameter $0 < c < 1$, and the concerning radius cr_S , such that the separate quantum mechanical as well as semiclassical parts of $\mathcal{R}_{1-4}$ in $\{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \geq cr_S\}$ do not exceed $CB^{4/5} Z^{3/5}$ and that ϕ^{STF} fulfills (2.39). The existence of such a c is a consequence of the behavior of ϕ^{STF} in the vicinity of r_S (cf. (2.51)). By means of such a parameter c we decompose the outer region Ω_2 into $\Omega_2^1 \cup \Omega_2^2$ and define a concerning partition of unity, i.e.

$$\text{supp} \psi_1^{(2)} = \{\mathbf{x} | [Z/B](1 + \epsilon) \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq cr_S\}, \quad \text{supp} \psi_2^{(2)} = \{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \geq cr_S(1 - \epsilon)\}, \quad (2.97)$$

with $\psi_1^{(2)} + \psi_2^{(2)} = 1$ for $r \geq [Z/B](1 + \epsilon)$. On Ω_2^2 , by definition, all terms separately are bounded above by $CB^{4/5} Z^{3/5}$ and on Ω_2^1 condition (2.39) is fulfilled for ϵ small enough.

Throughout this section we assume $Z^{4/3} \leq B \leq Z^3$.

2.15. PROPOSITION.

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\Omega_2^1) \leq CB^{4/5}Z^{3/5}. \quad (2.98)$$

Proof. First we assume $B < Z^2$. Applying Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = 1$ and $d = 1$ we get for arbitrary but fixed $\mathbf{x}_\perp$ (we set $\nu = 0$)

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}(\psi_1^{(2)} g_1(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp})) - \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \psi_1^{(2)} g_1(\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}) \right| \leq C \int_{\text{supp} \psi_1^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_\perp, z)} dz l(\mathbf{x})^{-2} f(\mathbf{x}). \quad (2.99)$$

After multiplying with B and integrating over $\mathbf{x}_\perp$ we get

$$(2.99) \leq C \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} l(\mathbf{x})^{-2} f(\mathbf{x}) \leq CBZ^{1/2} \int_{Z/B}^{crs} r^{-\frac{1}{2}} dr \leq CBZ^{1/2} [r_S]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.100)$$

In the case of $B > Z^2$ we again have to decompose Ω_2^1 , since Z/B is smaller than $1/Z$. So for fixed but arbitrary B , Ω_2^1 is decomposed with respect to $r = 1/Z$. For $r \leq 1/Z$ we proceed as in the previous section and estimate each term separately and for $r \geq 1/Z$ we immediately arrive at (2.100).

The pure semiclassical part

$$\frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \psi^{(2)} g_1(\tilde{h}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) - \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \psi^{(2)} g_1(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) \right) \quad (2.101)$$

can analogously be estimated as in Lemma 2.8. $\square$

Denote

$$R^0(\psi_1^{(2)}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x}_\perp \left(\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}(\psi_1^{(2)} \Theta_-(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu)) - \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \psi_1^{(2)} \Theta_-(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp} - \nu) \right). \quad (2.102)$$

2.16. LEMMA.

$$R^0(\psi_1^{(2)}) \leq CB^{\frac{1}{5}}Z^{\frac{2}{5}}. \quad (2.103)$$

Proof. As in Proposition 2.15 we first assume $B < Z^2$. The other case, where the terms have to be computed separately, works as in Lemma 2.7. Applying Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = 1$ and $d = 1$ we get for arbitrary but fixed $\mathbf{x}_\perp$ (we set $\nu = 0$)

$$\left| \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}(\psi_1^{(2)} \Theta_-(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{x}_\perp})) - \int \frac{dzdp}{2\pi} \psi_1^{(2)} \Theta_-(h_{\mathbf{x}_\perp}) \right| \leq C \int_{\text{supp} \psi_1^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_\perp, z)} dz l(\mathbf{x})^{-1}. \quad (2.104)$$

This implies

$$R^0(\psi_1^{(2)}) \leq C \frac{B}{2\pi} \int d\mathbf{x} l(\mathbf{x})^{-1} \leq CB \int_{Z/B}^{crs} r dr \leq CB[r_S]^2. \quad (2.105)$$

$\square$

2.17. PROPOSITION.

$$\mathcal{R}_2(\Omega_2^1) \leq CB^{4/5} Z^{3/5}. \quad (2.106)$$

Proof. Note that by the Lemmata 2.7, 2.8 and 2.16 the estimate (2.76) is proved and the assumption of Lemma 2.10 justified. So by Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 2.16 we arrive at (2.106). $\square$

2.18. PROPOSITION.

$$\mathcal{R}_4(\Omega_2^1), \mathcal{R}_3(\Omega_2^1) \leq CB^{4/5} Z^{3/5}. \quad (2.107)$$

Proof. Let us start with

$$\mathcal{R}_4(\Omega_2^1) = D(\psi_1^{(2)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho}), \psi_1^{(2)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho})). \quad (2.108)$$

By the HLS inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_4(\Omega_2^1) &\leq CB^2 \|\psi_1^{(2)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \bar{\rho})\|_{6/5}^2 \\ &\leq CB^2 |\lambda_N - \nu|^2 \|\psi_1^{(2)}(\phi^{\text{STF}} + \nu)^{-1/2}\|_{6/5}^2 \leq CB^{4/5} Z^{3/5}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.109)$$

The term

$$\mathcal{R}_3(\Omega_2^1) = D(\psi_1^{(2)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \rho_\psi), \psi_1^{(2)}(\rho^{\text{STF}} - \rho_\psi)) \quad (2.110)$$

is a bit more delicate and we refer to [I1] Proposition 4.2 and 4.3 for a proof of the estimate (2.107).

Note: Proposition 4.3 in [I1] is proved for region $\chi_4 = \{\mathbf{x} | |\mathbf{x}| \geq C_0 Z/B\}$ with possibly a very large parameter C_0 . This parameter C_0 is chosen in a way, such that only the lowest Landau band contributes to Ivrii's calculations. Since we only treat the lowest Landau band case the assertion of Proposition 4.3 holds in our case on the whole region Ω_2^1 .

Furthermore, we remark that if (2.95) would be valid on Ω_2^1 , we could immediately conclude by the HLS inequality that $\mathcal{R}_4(\Omega_2^1) \leq CB^2 [r_S]^3$. But since the validity of (2.95) cannot be guaranteed on Ω_2^1 we have to refer to Ivrii's method. $\square$

Recall that we have made a partition of unity, $\sum_{i,j=1}^2 \psi_j^{(i)}(\mathbf{x}) = 1$. So collecting all estimations of the Sections 2.4 and 2.5 we have finished the proof of Theorem 1.3.

3 Semiclassical theories approximating $E_{\text{conf}}^{\text{Q}}$

As we have already argued throughout the introduction, the natural semiclassical approximation of $E_{\text{conf}}^{\text{Q}}$ is given by the DSTF functional

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho] = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left(\kappa \int \rho_m(z)^3 - Z \int V_m(z) \rho_m(z) dz \right) + \overline{D}(\rho, \rho). \quad (3.1)$$

Here ρ is a sequence of one-dimensional densities $\rho = (\rho_m(z))_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. In contrary to the usual STF theory the integration over the variables orthogonal to the magnetic field is replaced by an expansion in angular momentum eigenfunctions in the lowest Landau band. The potentials V_m and $\overline{D}$ are defined in (1.30). The corresponding energy is given by

$$E^{\text{DSTF}}(N, Z, B) = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho] \mid \rho \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } \sum_m \int \rho_m \leq N \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

with

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \rho \mid \sum_m \int \rho_m^3 < \infty, \sum_m \int V_m \rho_m < \infty, \tilde{D}(\rho, \rho) < \infty \}. \quad (3.3)$$

Another semiclassical approximation, where the variables, as in the usual STF theory, are three dimensional densities, is realized by the MSTF functional

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{MSTF}}[\rho] = \frac{4\pi^4}{3B^2} \int d\mathbf{x} \rho^3(\mathbf{x}) - \int d\mathbf{x} \widetilde{|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}} \rho(\mathbf{x}) + \tilde{D}(\rho, \rho), \quad (3.4)$$

with respective energy

$$E^{\text{MSTF}}(N, Z, B) = \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}^{\text{MSTF}}[\rho] \mid \rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}} \text{ and } \int \rho \leq N \right\}, \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \{ \rho \mid \rho \in \mathcal{L}^3(\mathbb{R}^3), \int \widetilde{|x|^{-1}} \rho < \infty, \tilde{D}(\rho, \rho) < \infty \}. \quad (3.6)$$

First of all, we will show that these two functionals are equivalent.

3.1. LEMMA. *For all $\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ let*

$$\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} \sum_m \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) \rho_m(z), \quad (3.7)$$

with $\rho_m(z) = \int \rho(\mathbf{x}) \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) d\mathbf{x}_\perp$, and denote $\tilde{\rho} = (\rho_m)_m$. Then one gets

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{MSTF}}[\rho] \geq \mathcal{E}^{\text{MSTF}}[\bar{\rho}] = \mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\tilde{\rho}]. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. By the definition of the MSTF functional, it suffices to show that $\int \rho^3 \geq \int \bar{\rho}^3$.

For this purpose we note that for every non-negative function f , on a general measure space, one derives from convexity that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int f^3 d\mu \geq \left(\int \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} f d\mu \right)^3. \quad (3.9)$$

Hence for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{|\chi_m|} \int_{\text{supp} \chi_m} \rho(\mathbf{x})^3 \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) d\mathbf{x}_\perp \geq \left(\frac{1}{|\chi_m|} \int \rho(\mathbf{x}) \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) d\mathbf{x}_\perp \right)^3. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $|\chi_m| = \frac{2\pi}{B}$, we arrive at

$$\frac{4\pi^4}{3B^2} \int \rho(\mathbf{x})^3 d\mathbf{x} \geq \frac{\pi^2}{3} \sum_m \int \rho_m(z)^3 dz = \frac{4\pi^4}{3B^2} \int \bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x})^3 d\mathbf{x}. \quad (3.11)$$

□

3.2. PROPOSITION. *For all N, Z, B*

$$E^{\text{MSTF}}(N, Z, B) = E^{\text{DSTF}}(N, Z, B). \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Lemma 3.1 immediately implies

$$E^{\text{MSTF}}(N, Z, B) = \inf \{ \mathcal{E}^{\text{MSTF}}[\rho] \mid \rho = \frac{B}{2\pi} \sum_m \rho_m(z) \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp), (\rho_m)_m \in \mathcal{D} \}. \quad (3.13)$$

□

For simplicity we first concentrate on the DSTF functional and then apply our results to the MSTF functional.

3.3. LEMMA. *$\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho]$ is uniformly bounded from below on $\mathcal{D}$. There exists even a positive constant α and a C , such that*

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho] \geq \alpha \left(\sum_m \int \rho_m^3 + \overline{D}(\rho, \rho) \right) - C \quad (3.14)$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. We set $\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_m \rho_m(z) |\phi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp)|^2$ for an arbitrary $(\rho_m)_m \in \mathcal{D}$. We get from [BBL] Lemma 2 that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a C_ε , such that

$$\int |\mathbf{x}|^{-1} \rho \leq \varepsilon \|\rho\|_3 + C_\varepsilon D(\rho, \rho)^{1/2}. \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, this implies

$$\sum_m \int V_m \rho_m \leq \varepsilon \left(\int d\mathbf{x} \left(\sum_m |\phi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp)|^2 \rho_m(z) \right)^3 \right)^{1/3} + C_\varepsilon \overline{D}(\rho, \rho)^{1/2}. \quad (3.16)$$

By convexity of x^3 , for $x \geq 0$, and by the equation $\sum_m |\phi_m|^2 = \frac{B}{2\pi}$, we get

$$\left(\frac{2\pi}{B} \sum_m |\phi_m|^2 \rho_m \right)^3 \leq \frac{2\pi}{B} \sum_m |\phi_m|^2 \rho_m^3. \quad (3.17)$$

Using (3.17) and integrating over the $\mathbf{x}_\perp$ -variable, the inequality (3.16) can be written as

$$\sum_m \int V_m \rho_m \leq \varepsilon \left(\frac{B}{2\pi} \right)^{2/3} \left(\sum_m \int \rho_m^3 \right)^{1/3} + C_\varepsilon \overline{D}(\rho, \rho)^{1/2}. \quad (3.18)$$

Consequently the functional $\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho]$ can be estimated from below by $(\epsilon = \varepsilon(\frac{B}{2\pi})^{2/3})$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho] &\geq \kappa \sum_m \int \rho_m^3 - \epsilon \left(\sum_m \int \rho_m^3 \right)^{1/3} + \overline{D}(\rho, \rho) - C_\varepsilon \overline{D}(\rho, \rho)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \inf_{X, Y \geq 0} \{ \kappa X^3 - \epsilon X + Y^2 - C_\varepsilon Y \} \\ &\geq \alpha(X^3 + Y^2) - C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

for α, C appropriately chosen, where we used the notations $X = (\sum_m \int \rho_m^3)^{1/3}$ and $Y = \overline{D}(\rho, \rho)^{1/2}$ $\square$

3.4. LEMMA. *There exists a $\rho^{(\infty)}$, which minimizes $\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho]$ uniquely in $\mathcal{D}$, i.e. $\inf\{\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho] | \rho \in \mathcal{D}\} = \mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho^{(\infty)}]$.*

Proof. Let $\rho^{(i)}$ be a minimizing sequence of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}$. Lemma 3.3 yields that there exists a constant C , such that

$$\sum_m \kappa \int (\rho_m^{(i)})^3 \leq C, \quad \overline{D}(\rho^{(i)}, \rho^{(i)}) \leq C, \quad \sum_m \rho_m^{(i)} V_m \leq C \quad (3.20)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem there exists a subsequence, still denoted as $\rho^{(i)}$, and a $\rho^{(\infty)}$, with $\rho_m^{(i)} \in \mathcal{L}^3(\mathbb{R}) \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that

$$\rho_m^{(i)} \rightharpoonup \rho_m^{(\infty)} \text{ weakly in } \mathcal{L}^3(\mathbb{R}) \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.21)$$

Since $\mathcal{L}^p$ -norms are weakly lower semicontinuous, we derive for all m ,

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int (\rho_m^{(i)})^3 \geq \int (\rho_m^{(\infty)})^3, \quad (3.22)$$

and using Fatou's Lemma we consequently arrive at

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_m \int (\rho_m^{(i)})^3 \geq \sum_m \int (\rho_m^{(\infty)})^3. \quad (3.23)$$

Moreover since $V_m \in \mathcal{L}^{3/2}(\mathbb{R})$ for all m , we conclude by weak convergence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int dz V_m(z) \rho_m^{(i)}(z) \rightarrow \int dz V_m(z) \rho_m^{(\infty)}(z) \quad (3.24)$$

for each m . By (3.20) and the dominated convergence theorem we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sum_m \int dz V_m(z) \rho_m^{(i)}(z) \rightarrow \sum_m \int dz V_m(z) \rho_m^{(\infty)}(z). \quad (3.25)$$

In order to show

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \overline{D}(\rho^{(i)}, \rho^{(i)}) \geq \overline{D}(\rho^{(\infty)}, \rho^{(\infty)}), \quad (3.26)$$

we use the fact that for sequences of functions $f = (f_m(z))_m$, $g = (g_m(z))_m$,

$$\langle f, g \rangle_D = \overline{D}(f, g) \quad (3.27)$$

defines a real inner product and consequently a real Hilbert-space $\mathcal{H}_D$. Since (3.20) yields $\|\rho^{(i)}\|_D = \sqrt{\langle \rho^{(i)}, \rho^{(i)} \rangle_D} \leq C$ for all i , we can extract another subsequence $\rho^{(i)}$, such that

$$\langle f, \rho^{(i)} \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, \rho^{(\infty)} \rangle \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{H}_D. \quad (3.28)$$

Hence, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{D}(\rho^{(\infty)}, \rho^{(\infty)}) &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \rho^{(i)}, \rho^{(\infty)} \rangle \leq \langle \rho^{(\infty)}, \rho^{(\infty)} \rangle^{1/2} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle \rho^{(i)}, \rho^{(i)} \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \overline{D}(\rho^{(\infty)}, \rho^{(\infty)})^{1/2} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \overline{D}(\rho^{(i)}, \rho^{(i)})^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

and consequently get (3.26). Altogether we have shown

$$\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho^{(i)}] \geq \mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho^{(\infty)}]. \quad (3.30)$$

The uniqueness follows from the strict convexity of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}$. $\square$

3.5. THEOREM. Denote $N_c = \sum_m \int \rho_m^{(\infty)}$. Then

- (i) for each $N \leq N_c$ there exists a unique minimizer ρ^N for $\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}$, under the restriction $\sum_m \int \rho_m \leq N$, i.e. $E^{\text{DSTF}}(N, Z, B) = \mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho^N]$. Moreover, ρ^N satisfies $\sum_m \int \rho_m^N = N$.
- (ii) $E^{\text{DSTF}}(N, Z, B)$, as a function of N , is strictly decreasing and strictly convex up to N_c , and constant for $N > N_c$.

Proof. Let $N \leq N_c$. Then the same proof as in Lemma 3.4 shows that there exists a $\rho^N \in \mathcal{D}$, with $\sum_m \int \rho_m^N \leq N$ and

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}[\rho^N] = E^{\text{DSTF}}(N, Z, B). \quad (3.31)$$

Obviously $E^{\text{DSTF}}(N, Z, B)$, as a function of N , is non-increasing, and the convexity of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{DSTF}}$ implies the convexity of E^{DSTF} . Hence, by definition of N_c and Lemma 3.4 it is clear that E^{DSTF} is strictly decreasing up to N_c and constant for $N > N_c$. Furthermore we get that $\sum_m \int \rho_m^N = N$ for $N \leq N_c$. (Note that $\sum_m \int \rho_m^N < N$ would be a contradiction to $N \leq N_c$.) $\square$

3.6. PROPOSITION. Let $N \leq N_c$. Then for every minimizer ρ^N there exists a parameter $\mu(N)$, the chemical potential, such that ρ^N obeys the coupled TF equations

$$3\kappa(\rho_m^N(z))^2 = [ZV_m(z) - \sum_n \int V_{m,n}(z - z')\rho_n^N(z') + \mu(N)]_+ \quad \forall (m \in \mathbb{N}_0), \quad (3.32)$$

and $\mu(N)$ fulfills the relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial N} E^{\text{DSTF}}(N, Z, B) = \mu(N). \quad (3.33)$$

Proof. The proof works analogously to [LS] Theorem II.10, if the variable perpendicular to the field is replaced by the angular momentum quantum numbers. $\square$

3.7. THEOREM. All statements of Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.6 are also valid for the MSTF theory, where the minimizing MSTF densities $\rho^N(\mathbf{x})$ and the minimizing DSTF densities $(\rho_m^N(z))_m$ are related as

$$\rho^N(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{B}{2\pi} \sum_m \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) \rho_m^N(z). \quad (3.34)$$

The corresponding TF equation reads

$$3\kappa(\rho^N(\mathbf{x}))^2 = [Z|\widehat{\mathbf{x}}|^{-1} - \sum_{n,m} \int d\mathbf{x}' \chi_m(\mathbf{x}_\perp) V_{m,n}(z - z') \chi_n(\mathbf{x}'_\perp) \rho^N(\mathbf{x}') + \mu(N)]_+. \quad (3.35)$$

Proof. The existence of a minimizing density $\rho^N(\mathbf{x})$ we get from Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.1. The uniqueness follows from the strict convexity of ρ^3 in ρ . $\square$

Next we try to collect some information about the “critical” particle number N_c , which measures the maximal particle number that can be bound to the nucleus in the D(M)STF theory.

3.8. PROPOSITION. $N_c \geq Z$.

Proof. By definition of N_c , we have $\mu(N_c) = 0$, so the TF equation reads ($\rho^{N_c} = \rho$)

$$3\kappa\rho_m(z) = [\varphi_{\text{eff}}^{(m)}(z)]_+ \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (3.36)$$

with

$$\varphi_{\text{eff}}^{(m)}(z) = ZV_m(z) - \sum_n \int V_{m,n}(z - z')\rho_n(z'). \quad (3.37)$$

We assume $N_c < Z$.

[BRW, RW] tell us that the potentials $V_m(z)$ and $V_{n,m}(z - z')$ behave like $1/|z|$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we get that for each m

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |z|[ZV_m(z)] = Z, \quad (3.38)$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |z| \left[\sum_n \int V_{m,n}(z - z')\rho_n(z') \right] \\ &= \sum_n \int \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |z|V_{m,n}(z - z')\rho_n(z') = \sum_n \int \rho_n = N_c. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

Since we therefore get

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} |z|\varphi_{\text{eff}}^{(m)}(z) = Z - N_c > 0, \quad (3.40)$$

we can conclude that there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and a $\bar{z} > 0$, such that

$$\varphi_{\text{eff}}^{(m)}(z) \geq \varepsilon|z| \quad \text{for } z \geq \bar{z}, \quad (3.41)$$

which by (3.36) is a contradiction to $\rho_m \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$. $\square$

In the usual STF theory the inequality $N_c \leq Z$ is a consequence of Newton’s potential-theory. Since we miss this powerful tool in our DSTF theory we cannot expect to get an analogue estimate. But if we use similar methods to those applied in [BRW, Sei, HS1] we at least get the following B -independent upper bound for N_c .

3.9. PROPOSITION. $N_c \leq 4Z$.

Proof. If we multiply (3.36) with ρ_m/V_m and integrate over z , we get

$$3\kappa \int dz \frac{\rho_m(z)^3}{V_m(z)} = Z \int dz \rho_m(z) - \sum_n \int dz dz' \frac{1}{V_m(z)} \rho_n(z') V_{n,m}(z - z') \rho_m(z). \quad (3.42)$$

Note that by multiplication with ρ_m the $\llbracket +$ -bracket can be dropped, since $\rho_m = 0$ where $\varphi_{\text{eff}}^{(m)}(z) \leq 0$. Clearly $\int \rho_m^3/V_m \geq 0$, so after summing over m we arrive at

$$ZN_c \geq \sum_{n,m} \int dz dz' \frac{1}{V_m(z)} \rho_n(z') V_{n,m}(z - z') \rho_m(z). \quad (3.43)$$

Moreover, [HS1] Lemma 4.1 tells us

$$\left(\frac{1}{V_m(z)} + \frac{1}{V_n(z)} + \frac{1}{V_m(z')} + \frac{1}{V_n(z')} \right) V_{m,n}(z - z') \geq 1, \quad (3.44)$$

which we use, together with symmetry, in order to estimate the right side of (3.43):

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m,n} \int \frac{1}{V_m(z)} \rho_m(z) V_{m,n}(z - z') \rho_n(z') dz dz' \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m,n} \int \left(\frac{1}{V_m(z)} + \frac{1}{V_n(z)} + \frac{1}{V_m(z')} + \frac{1}{V_n(z')} \right) \\ & \quad \times \rho_m(z) V_{m,n}(z - z') \rho_n(z') dz dz' \geq \frac{1}{4} N_c^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Inserting into (3.43) finally leads to

$$N_c \leq 4Z. \quad (3.46)$$

□

3.10. Remark (The difference between E^{DSTF} and E^{STF}). Obviously, the magnitude of difference between the D(M)STF and the STF energy is given by

$$B \left[\int |\phi^{\text{MSTF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{3/2} - \int |\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{3/2} \right]. \quad (3.47)$$

Due to the singularity of the STF potential, (3.47) has to be split into

$$\begin{aligned} & B \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq B^{-1/2}} \left[|\phi^{\text{MSTF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{3/2} - \int |\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{3/2} \right] \\ & + B \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \geq B^{-1/2}} \left[|\phi^{\text{MSTF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{3/2} - \int |\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{3/2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

The magnitude of the first term is proportional to

$$Z^{3/2} B \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq B^{-1/2}} |\mathbf{x}|^{-3/2} = O(Z^{3/2} B^{1/4}). \quad (3.49)$$

The second term of (3.48) could be estimated by

$$B \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \geq B^{-1/2}} |\phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})|^{1/2} |\partial_\theta \phi^{\text{STF}}(\mathbf{x})| B^{-1/2} \leq Z^{3/2} B^{1/2} [r_S]^{3/2}, \quad (3.50)$$

with $\theta = |\mathbf{x}_\perp|$. So we see that the main contribution to (3.47) stems from the $B^{-1/2}$ -vicinity of the nucleus, i.e.

$$E^{\text{DSTF}} - E^{\text{STF}} = O(Z^{3/2} B^{1/4}). \quad (3.51)$$

3.1 Some notes about the one-dimensional case

If we reduce the DSTF functional to the angular momentum channel with $m = 0$, one gets the functional

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{1DSTF}}[\rho] = \kappa \int dz \rho(z)^3 - Z \int dz V_0(z) \rho(z) + \frac{1}{2} \int dz dz' V_{0,0}(z - z') \rho(z) \rho(z'), \quad (3.52)$$

which can be treated analogously to the three dimensional case and Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.8 are also valid. Concerning the upper bound of N_c it is not necessary to symmetrize over n and m , and in this case (3.45) reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{1}{V_0(z)} \rho(z) V_{0,0}(z - z') \rho(z') dz dz' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\frac{1}{V_0(z)} + \frac{1}{V_0(z')} \right) \rho(z) V_{0,0}(z - z') \rho(z') dz dz' \geq \frac{1}{2} N_c^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently one gets $N_c \leq 2Z$ for the maximum particle number that can be bound to the nucleus in the one-dimensional theory.

Moreover, let us regard the absolute minimum $\bar{E}^{\text{1DSTF}}(Z, B)$ of the functional $\mathcal{E}^{\text{1DSTF}}[\rho] = \mathcal{E}_{Z,B}[\rho]$. If we use the scaling relations

$$V_0(z) = B^{1/2} V_0^1(B^{1/2} z), \quad V_{0,0}(z) = B^{1/2} V_{0,0}^1(B^{1/2} z) \quad (3.53)$$

and define

$$\bar{\rho}(z) = B^{1/4} Z^{1/2} \rho(B^{1/2} z) \quad (3.54)$$

we get

$$\mathcal{E}_{Z,B}[\bar{\rho}] = B^{1/4} Z^{3/2} \mathcal{E}_{1,1}^\lambda[\rho], \quad (3.55)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,1}^\lambda[\rho] = \kappa \int dz \rho(z)^3 - \int dz V_0^1(z) \rho(z) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int dz dz' V_{0,0}^1(z - z') \rho(z) \rho(z'), \quad (3.56)$$

and $\lambda = 2B^{1/4}Z^{1/2}$.

Let $E_w^{1D}(Z, B)$ be the minimum of the functional

$$\mathcal{E}_w^{1D}[\rho] = \kappa \int dz \rho(z)^3 - Z \int dz V_0(z) \rho(z), \quad (3.57)$$

where the repulsive energy term is omitted. Using the above scaling (3.54) one immediately gets $E_w^{1D}(Z, B) = Z^{3/2}B^{1/4}E_w^{1D}(1, 1)$. So we can formulate the following theorem:

3.11. THEOREM. *If $Z, B \geq 1$ are fixed, then*

$$E_w^{1D}(Z, B) \leq \bar{E}^{1\text{DSTF}}(Z, B) \leq E_w^{1D}(Z, B) + Z(1 + 2\ln[BZ^2]^2). \quad (3.58)$$

Proof. The lower bound is obvious.

For the upper bound we use the relation (3.55) and take the TF-solution of $\mathcal{E}_{1,1}^\infty$, i.e.

$$\rho(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{V_0^1(z)}. \quad (3.59)$$

This density is neither in $\mathcal{L}^1$ nor in $\mathcal{L}^2$, so we define a cut-off density $\rho_R(z) = \pi \sqrt{V_0^1(z)} \Theta(R - |z|)$ and use this as comparison density in (3.55), which leads to

$$\mathcal{E}_{1,1}^\lambda[\rho_R] = E_w^{1D}(1, 1) + \int_R^\infty (V_0^1(z))^{3/2} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \int \rho_R(z) V_{0,0}^1(z - z') \rho_R(z') dz dz'. \quad (3.60)$$

Since $V_{0,0}^1(z) \leq \min\{\frac{1}{|x|}, \sqrt{\pi/4}\}$ we get by Young's inequality

$$\int \rho_R(z) V_{0,0}^1(z - z') \rho_R(z') dz dz' \leq \left[\left(\int \rho_R \right)^2 \frac{1}{\beta} + 2 \ln(\beta) \int \rho_R^2 \right] \quad \forall \beta \geq 1. \quad (3.61)$$

After estimating $\int \rho_R$ and $\int \rho_R^2$ we see that the minimum of (3.61) as a function of β is achieved for $\beta = R/\ln(R)$, which implies

$$\int \rho_R(z) V_{0,0}^1(z - z') \rho_R(z') dz dz' \leq [\ln(R) + \ln(R)^2]. \quad (3.62)$$

Next, optimizing the last two term on the right side of (3.60) with respect to R and multiplying with $B^{1/4}Z^{3/2}$ yields the statement of the theorem. $\square$

By aid of this theorem we can also prove that $\bar{E}^{1\text{DSTF}}(Z, B)$ is the semiclassical approximation of $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}[-\partial_z^2 - ZV_0(z)]_-$, the sum of all negative eigenvalues of $-\partial_z^2 - ZV_0(z)$.

3.12. COROLLARY. *Let $B, Z \geq 1$ and $B \leq Z^2$. Then there exists a constant C , such that*

$$|\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}[-\partial_z^2 - ZV_0(z)]_- - \bar{E}^{\mathrm{1DSTF}}(Z, B)| \leq C \max\{Z \ln[BZ^2], B^{3/4} Z^{1/2}\}. \quad (3.63)$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.11 and [H] Theorem 3.19, which says that

$$|\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R})}[-\partial_z^2 - ZV_0(z)]_- - E_w^{1D}(Z, B)| \leq CB^{3/4} Z^{1/2}. \quad (3.64)$$

□

We learn from Theorem 3.10 that in a model of a one dimensional semiclassical atom, where the electrons are forced to stay in the angular momentum channel $m = 0$, the repulsive interaction energy does not contribute to the leading order of the energy $\bar{E}^{\mathrm{1DSTF}}(Z, B)$ for large Z and $B \geq 1$.

An analogue effect one obtains for the quantum mechanical interaction energy of N particles reduced to the angular momentum $m = 0$, i.e.

$$\Psi = \phi_0 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_0 \psi(z_1, \dots, z_N). \quad (3.65)$$

For ψ a Slater-determinant or at least for ψ close to the ground state of the corresponding N -particle Hamiltonian H_0 , which is the projection onto the angular momentum eigenspace with angular momentum $m = 0$, the interaction energy can be bounded from above by (for a precise lower bound see [HS2])

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_\psi(z) \rho_\psi(z') V_{0,0}(z - z') dz dz', \quad (3.66)$$

which can be estimated by an analogue method to (3.61). This leads to

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_\psi(z) \rho_\psi(z') V_{0,0}(z - z') dz dz' \leq CE_0^{1/2} N^{1/2} [1 + \ln(BN^3/E_0)], \quad (3.67)$$

where we have used that $\langle \Psi, H_N \Psi \rangle \leq 0$ and E_0 is the corresponding ground state energy (of wave functions of the form (3.65)), which is of the same order as $\bar{E}^{\mathrm{1DSTF}}$ as long as $B \leq Z^2$. Relation (3.67) yields that the quantum mechanical interaction energy in one dimension is $\ll E_0$ as long as $E_0 \gg N$.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks Jakob Yngvason for proofreading and his friend Robert W. Seiringer for many helpful discussions and comments.

References

- [BBL] R. Benguria, H. Brezis, E.H. Lieb. Thomas-Fermi-von Weizsäcker Theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 79, 167–180

- [BRW] R. Brummelhuis, M.B. Ruskai, and E. Werner. One Dimensional Regularizations of the Coulomb Potential with Applications to Atoms in Strong Magnetic Fields. *arXiv:math-ph/9912020*
- [H] C. Hainzl. Gradient corrections for semiclassical theories of atoms in strong magnetic fields. preprint: *arXiv:math-ph/0011050*.
- [HS1] C. Hainzl, R.W. Seiringer. A discrete density matrix theory for atoms in strong magnetic fields. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 217, 229-248 (2001)
- [HS2] C. Hainzl, R.W. Seiringer. Bounds on the one-dimensional exchange energy with application to lowest Landau band quantum mechanics. preprint: *arXiv: cond-mat/0102118*, to appear in *Lett. Math. Phys.*
- [I1] V. Ivrii. Asymptotics of the ground state energy of heavy molecules in the strong magnetic field. I. *Russian J. Math. Physics*, 4 (1996), no 1, 29-74
- [I2] V. Ivrii. Microlocal Analysis and Precise Spectral Asymptotics. *Springer* (1998)
- [IS] V. Ivrii, I.M. Sigal. Asymptotics of the ground state energies of large Coulomb systems. *Ann. Math.* 138, 243-335 (1993)
- [L1] E.H. Lieb. Thomas-Fermi and related theories of atoms und molecules. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 53, 1981 pp 603-641
- [LL] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss. Analysis. *Springer* (1996)
- [LO] E.H. Lieb, S. Oxford. An improved lower bound on the indirect Coulomb energy. *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* 19, 427-439 (1981)
- [LS] E.H. Lieb, B. Simon. The Thomas-Fermi theory of atoms, molecules and solids. *Adv. in Math.* 23, 22 - 116 (1977)
- [LSY1] E.H. Lieb, J.P. Solovej, J. Yngvason. Asymptotics of heavy atoms in high magnetic fields: I. Lowest Landau Band Regions. *Commun. on Pure Appl. Math.* Vol. XLVII, 513-591 (1994)
- [LSY2] E.H. Lieb, J.P. Solovej, J. Yngvason. Asymptotics of heavy atoms in high magnetic fields: II. Semiclassical regions. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 161, 77-124 (1994)
- [RW] M.B. Ruskai and E. Werner. Study of a Class of Regularizations of $1/|x|$ using Gaussian Integrals. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 32, 435-436 (2000)
- [S] J.P. Solovej. Proof of the ionization conjecture in a reduced Hartree-Fock model. *Inventiones Math.* 104, 291-311 (1991)

- [Sei] R.W. Seiringer. On the maximal ionization of atoms in strong magnetic fields. *arXiv:math-ph/0006002*, to appear in *J. Phys. A*
- [So] A. Sobolev. The quasi-classical asymptotics of lokal Riesz means for the Schrödinger operator in a strong homogeneous magnetic field. *Duke Math. J.* 74, 319 - 429 (1994)